MEETING ABSTRACT



Open Access

Linked annotations: a middle ground for manual curation of biomedical databases and text corpora

Tatyana Goldberg^{1,2}, Shrikant Vinchurkar¹, Juan Miguel Cejuela¹, Lars Juhl Jensen^{3*}, Burkhard Rost^{1*}

From Biomedical Linked Annotation Hackathon 2015 Kashiwa, Japan. 23-27 February 2015

Summary

Annotators of text corpora and biomedical databases carry out the same labor-intensive task to manually extract structured data from unstructured text. Tasks are needlessly repeated because text corpora are widely scattered. We envision that a linked annotation resource unifying many corpora could be a game changer. Such an open forum will help focus on novel annotations and on optimally benefiting from the energy of many experts. As proof-of-concept, we annotated protein subcellular localization in 100 abstracts cited by UniProtKB. The detailed comparison between our new corpus and the original UniProtKB annotations revealed sustained novel annotations for 42% of the entries (proteins). In a unified linked annotation resource these could immediately extend the utility of text corpora beyond the textmining community. Our example motivates the central idea that linked annotations from text corpora can complement database annotations.

Background

The natural language processing (NLP) and biomedical research communities have in common that they invest great effort into making high-quality manual annotation of biomedical literature. The focus and the annotation strategies of the two communities have, however, differed so much that collaborations remained stunningly limited. Most text corpora contain detailed markup of only a few types of entities and relationships

* Correspondence: lars.juhl.jensen@cpr.ku.dk; assistant@rostlab.org ¹Bioinformatics & Computational Biology, Department of Informatics, Technical University of Munich (TUM), 85748 Garching, Germany ³Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

in a limited number of abstracts or articles [1] (with exceptions such as the CRAFT corpus [2]). In contrast, manually curated databases such as Swiss-Prot/Uni-ProtKB [3] aim at annotating each entity with a wide range of information extracted from literature, but with less focus on the text structure.

We envision *linked annotations* as a possible middle ground for the two important strategies to curate literature that could synergistically link the efforts of two distinct communities. By connecting the annotations of different types of entities and relationships annotated in existing and future corpora, a *linked annotation resource* could be constructed, which would have much greater coverage and diversity of annotations than any existing text corpus. Such a corpus would be valuable to NLP researchers and database curators alike.

Here, we present a case study on protein subcellular localization to demonstrate that the corpus annotation strategy can improve database annotation. The localization of a protein is one aspect of protein function and therefore constitutes one of the three hierarchies to capture protein function employed by the Gene Ontology (GO) [4].

The LocText corpus

We assembled a corpus of 100 PubMed abstracts referenced by UniProtKB. We focused on three *model* organisms: *Homo sapiens* (50 entries), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (baker's yeast with 25 entries), and *Arabidopsis thaliana* as a plant (25 entries). We used 46 of the 100 abstracts to develop our annotation guidelines that are available at https://www.tagtog.net/-corpora/loctext.

Two of us (TG & SV) then annotated the remaining 54 abstracts. The two annotations agreed at F1 = 94% for entities and at F1 = 80% for relationships. We normalized



© 2015 Goldberg et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ zero/1.0) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated. protein names to UniProtKB and localizations to GO identifiers. The resulting corpus contains 306 annotated relationships in 201 different UniProtKB proteins with 48 GO distinct localization terms. All annotations were made within the framework of the *tagtog* system (Figure 1; http://tagtog.net) [5] and Reflect was used to aid protein name normalization (http://reflect.ws) [6]. The corpus is available for download at https://www.tagtog.net/-corpora/ loctext under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY 4.0) license.

Corpus provides novel annotations

Linked annotations from text corpora can complement database annotations only if manual corpus annotations identify relationships not captured by existing databases. Therefore, all our annotations were done from scratch without using database annotations. Comparing our "from scratch" annotations with those from UniProtKB revealed important novelty added by our text corpus.

We found novel or more detailed localization annotations with respect to UniProtKB for 84 of 201 (42%) proteins in 34 abstracts (Table 1); for example, *Arabidopsis* RabF2a (UniProtKB entry RAF2A_ARATH) is localized to endosomes (Figure 1). We found that for over half of these proteins with additional annotations (47/84 = 56%) UniProtKB did not cite the abstracts. Table 1. Localization annotations in our corpus and in UniProtKB. The table categorizes the corpus relationships by organism relative to whether they represent existing annotations in UniProtKB, more detailed annotations, or truly novel annotations. It further subdivides the counts based on whether or not the relationships involve UniProtKB proteins that cite the abstract

Category	Existing		More detailed		Novel	
Citing protein	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Human	29	15	1	1	14	13
Budding yeast	22	14	5	3	6	15
Arabidopsis	19	7	5	2	6	7
Other	2	9	0	0	0	6
Subtotal	72	45	11	6	26	41
Total	117		17		67	

This is likely explained by the way proteins are annotated, one protein at a time: if a curator works on one protein and an abstract mentions also the localization of another, which is not the focus of curator, the localization of the latter might not be annotated.

Perspectives

Our case study clearly showed that corpora containing manual annotations of the sub-cellular localization of

A cysteine-rich receptor-like kinase NCRK and a pathogen-induced protein kinase RBK1 are Rop GTPase interactors.

In plants, Rop/Rac GTPases have emerged as central regulators of diverse signalling pathways in plant growth and pathogen defence. When active, they interact with a wide range of downstream effectors. Using yeast two-hybrid screening we have found three previously uncharacterized receptor-like protein kinases to be Rop GTPase-interacting molecules: a cysteine-rich receptor kinase, named NCRK, and two receptor-like cytosolic kinases from the Arabidopsis RLCK-VIb family, named RBK1 and RBK2. Uniquely for Rho-family small GTPases, plant Rop GTPases were found to interact directly with the protein kinase domains. Rop4 bound NCRK preferentially in the GTP-bound conformation as determined by flow cytometric fluorescence resonance energy transfer measurements in insect cells. The kinase RBK1 did not phosphorylate Rop4 in vitro, suggesting that the protein kinases are targets for Rop signalling. Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays demonstrated that Rop4 interacted in vivo with NCRK and RBK1 at the plant plasma membrane. In Arabidopsis protoplasts, NCRK was hyperphosphorylated and partially co-localized with the small GTPase RabF2a in endosomes Gene expression analysis indicated that the single-copy NCRK gene was relatively upregulated in vasculature, especially in developing tracheary elements. The seven Arabidopsis RLCK-VIb genes are ubiquitously expressed in plant development, and highly so in pollen, as in case of RBK2. We show that the developmental context of RBK1 gene expression is predominantly associated with vasculature and is also locally upregulated in leaves exposed to Phytophthora infestans and Botrytis cinerea pathogens. Our data indicate the existence of cross-talk between Rop GTPases and specific receptor-like kinases through direct molecular interaction.

Figure 1 Curation of protein subcellular localization. The simplified *tagtog* web interface shown assisted in the manual annotation of the corpus (abstract of [7]). Colours highlight names of organisms (yellow), genes/proteins (green), and localization terms (magenta). Linking the *Arabidopsis* protein RabF2a (UniProtKB ID: RAF2A_ARATH) to endosomes adds a novel annotation to UniProtKB.

proteins are able to contribute novel information to curated databases such as UniProtKB. Notably, this is even true in the worst-case example when limiting annotations only to abstracts of articles that have already been utilized by the database curators. We expect our findings to generalize to most types of protein annotation, including disease associations and tissue expression.

Today databases avoid the trouble of integrating these annotations, because most text corpora are too limited in size and scope. Having the corpus developers combine their annotations into a single, unified linked annotation resource could thus be an important step towards integration of corpus annotations into databases, thus making them to richer data collection systems. Even before integration with databases happens, it will be possible for researchers to use semantic web technologies to combine the information in the linked annotation resource with that in existing databases, since UniProtKB and many other databases are already Resource Description Framework (RDF) compliant.

We envision a linked annotation resource to continuously grow, supported by annotation tools making it easy for corpus developers to link future annotations; for example, through a standard JSON format. Not all linked annotations need to be made manually, though. Including also results from automatic text mining pipelines would help address the challenge of the prohibitively high costs of large-scale manual annotation [2]. Associations extracted from both open and non-open access journals can be linked, as redistribution of extracted facts is not prohibited by most publishers' licenses.

Acknowledgements

Funding: Alexander von Humboldt Foundation through German Federal Ministry for Education and Research, Ernst Ludwig Ehrlich Studienwerk, and the Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research (NNF14CC0001).

Authors' details

¹Bioinformatics & Computational Biology, Department of Informatics, Technical University of Munich (TUM), 85748 Garching, Germany. ²TUM Graduate School, Center of Doctoral Studies in Informatics and its Applications (CeDoSIA), 85748 Garching, Germany. ³Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark.

Published: 6 August 2015

References

- 1. Neves M: An analysis on the entity annotations in biological corpora. *F1000Res* 2014, **3**:96.
- Verspoor K, Cohen KB, Lanfranchi A, Warner C, Johnson HL, Roeder C, Choi JD, Funk C, Malenkiy Y, Eckert M, Xue N, Baumgartner WA Jr, Bada M, Palmer M, Hunter LE: A corpus of full-text journal articles is a robust evaluation tool for revealing differences in performance of biomedical natural language processing tools. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2012, 13:207.
- UniProt Consortium: Activities at the Universal Protein Resource (UniProt). Nucleic Acids Res 2014, 42:D191-D198.

- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, Kasarskis A, Lewis S, Matese JC, Richardson JE, Ringwald M, Rubin GM, Sherlock G: Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat Genet* 2000, 25:25-29.
- Cejuela JM, McQuilton P, Ponting L, Marygold SJ, Stefancsik R, Millburn GH, Rost B, FlyBase Consortium: tagtog: interactive and text-mining-assisted annotation of gene mentions in PLOS full-text articles. *Database* 2014, 2014:bau033.
- Pafilis E, O'Donoghue SI, Jensen LJ, Horn H, Kuhn M, Brown NP, Schneider R: Reflect: augmented browsing for the life scientist. Nat Biotechnol 2009, 27:508-510.
- Molendijk AJ, Ruperti B, Singh MK, Dovzhenko A, Ditengou FA, Milia M, Westphal L, Rosahl S, Soellick TR, Uhrig J, Weingarten L, Huber M, Palme K: A cysteine-rich receptor-like kinase NCRK and a pathogen-induced protein kinase RBK1 are Rop GTPase interactors. *Plant J* 2008, 53:909-923.
- Baumgartner WA, Cohen KB, Fox LM, Acquaah-Mensah G, Hunter L: Manual curation is not sufficient for annotation of genomic databases. *Bioinformatics* 2007. 23(13):41-i48.

doi:10.1186/1753-6561-9-S5-A4

Cite this article as: Goldberg *et al.*: Linked annotations: a middle ground for manual curation of biomedical databases and text corpora. *BMC Proceedings* 2015 **9**(Suppl 5):A4.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

BioMed Central